

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/849,970	05/20/2004	Ernst Friedrich Ach	16755	8636
50659	7590 06/28/2006		EXAMINER	
BUTZEL LONG			MATTHEWS, TERRELL HOWARD	
	DOCKETING DEPARTMENT 100 BLOOMFIELD HILLS PARKWAY			PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 200			3654	
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304			DATE MAIL FD: 06/28/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	T					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/849,970	ACH, ERNST FRIEDRICH				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Terrell H. Matthews	3654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 4-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 4-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar					
		Examiner				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	= · ·	• •				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
_	priority under 35 LLS C & 119/a)-(d) or (f)				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * c)⊠ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
						3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
application from the International Burea	•	or in the Hallona Glago				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, ,,	ed.				
	,					
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	o) Ouler					

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Europe on 11/23/2001. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the EP 01811132.8 application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,4-8, 10-11,13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baranda (WO 99/43589) in view of Kinoshita (US-5891561) in further view of Danhauer (US 2002/0098935).

Referring to claims 1,4-6. Baranda discloses an "Elevator System Having Drive Motor Located Between Elevator Car and Hoistway Sidewall" as claimed. See Figs. 1-8 and respective portions of the specification. Baranda further discloses a drive motor (42) mounted at a head of an elevator shaft and having a drive pulley; an elevator car (16) movable in the elevator shaft; a counterweight (48) movable in the elevator shaft and arranged laterally of the elevator car (See Pg. 2 – Pg. 3 I. 17 & Fig. 2). Baranda

Art Unit: 3654

further discloses a flat-belt-like support means supporting the elevator car by under looping and engaging the drive pulley, Baranda does not disclose the support means being a wedge-ribbed belt having a running surface facing the drive pulley and a plurality of ribs and grooves formed with an angle in the range of 80 to 100 degrees in the running surface and extending in parallel in a longitudinal direction of the support means. Kinoshita discloses a "Power Transmission Belt With Load Carrying Cord". See Figs. 1-3 and respective portions of the specification. Kinoshita further discloses a wedge-ribbed belt (10) with ribs and grooves being one of triangular-shaped and trapezium-shaped in cross section (See at least Col. 3 l. 12-30 and at least Fig. 1). Danhauer discloses a belt (10) with a plurality of ribs and grooves formed in the running surface and extending in parallel in a longitudinal direction on the support means (See Sect. 0017 & Figs. 1-2). Furthermore, Danhauer discloses that the belt (10) is provided with a plurality of transverse grooves (34) (See Sect. 0025) and that the grooves are provided at an inclined angle between 20° and 85°. Additionally, it should be noted that the belt (10) has at least two wedge-ribbed belt strands arranged in parallel (See Figs. 1-2). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus of Baranda to include the teachings of Danhauer and provide a wedge-ribbed belt with a plurality of ribs and grooves formed in the running surface as well as transverse grooves and ribbed strands formed at an angle between 80 to 100 degrees as taught by Kinoshita and Danhauer so that the belt could provide better traction, increased flexibility, and a higher load capacity.

Referring to claim 7. Baranda does not disclose that the drive pulley has an external diameter in a range of 70 to 100 millimeters. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the apparatus of Baranda to include drive pulleys that were in the range of 70 to 100 millimeters so that greater torque and lifting capacity could be achieved.

Referring to claim 8. Baranda discloses that the car guide rail (60,64) is mounted on two opposite sides of the elevator car and two counterweight guide rails (62,66) mounted on a counterweight side of the elevator car and the drive motor with the drive pulley being mounted on a motor carrier (36) attached to one of the car guide rails and to the two counterweight guide rails (See Pg. 4 – Pg 5 I. 23 & Figs. 1-2).

Referring to claim 10. Baranda discloses an elevator wherein said drive motor and said drive pulley are mounted above a space in the elevator shaft taken up by said elevator car, a plane of said drive pulley being arranged vertically and at right angles to a car wall at a counterweight side of said elevator car and approximately in a middle of a car depth of said elevator car, a vertical projection of said drive pulley onto said counterweight side of said elevator car being outside a vertical projection of said counterweight side, and a part of a vertical projection of said drive motor being superimposed on said vertical projection of said counterweight side of said elevator car (See Figs. 1-2).

Referring to claims 11,13-14. Baranda discloses an elevator system wherein the belt extends from a support means fixing point below said drive pulley and in a region of a vertical projection of said drive pulley, downwardly to a side, which faces said elevator car of a periphery of a counterweight support roller, loops around said counterweight support roller,

Art Unit: 3654

extends to a side remote from said elevator car of a periphery of said drive pulley, loops around said drive pulley and runs downwardly along a car wall at a counterweight side of said elevator car, loops by 90 around a respective car support roller mounted below said elevator car on each of two sides of said elevator car and runs along a car wall remote from said counterweight upwardly to a second support means fixing point in the elevator shaft. Baranda further discloses an elevator system having a drive motor mounted at the head of the elevator shaft and having a drive pulley for engaging the support, comprising a belt adapted to support the elevator car by underlooping and engaging the drive pulley. Baranda does not disclose that the belt is wedgeribbed belt that has a running surface adapted to face the drive pulley and a plurality of substantially triangular-shaped and trapezium shaped ribs and grooves formed in the running surface and extending in parallel in a longitudinal direction of the belt. Danhauer discloses the belt is a wedge-ribbed belt that has a running surface adapted to face the drive pulley and a plurality of ribs and grooves formed in the running surface and extending in parallel in a longitudinal direction of the belt (See at least Fig. 1). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the apparatus to include a belt as taught by Danhauer and Kinoshita so that greater traction could be achieved as well as a higher load capacity.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baranda in view of Danhauer as applied to claims 1,4-8, 10-11,13-14 as advanced above and in further view of Faletto (6471012).

Referring to claim 9. Baranda does not disclose a brake unit mounted on the motor carrier for acting upon the drive pulley. Faletto discloses a "Pulley System For A Traction Sheave Elevator" as claimed. See Figs. 1-2 and respective portions of the specification. Faletto further discloses a brake acting on a drive pulley to prevent rope movement. Faletto further discloses that the brake could be positioned to act on the rope, on a pulley mounted on the elevator car, or on an auxiliary pulley (See Col. 5 I. 9-14). It should be noted that it is generally known in the field of art to provide a brake to act on the drive pulley to prevent movement. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the apparatus of Baranda to include a brake unit to act on the drive pulley to prevent movement in order to slow and stabilize the elevator car.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baranda in view of Danhauer as applied to claims 1,4-8, 10-11,13-14 as advanced above and in further view of Saito (US-5025893)

Referring to claim 12. Baranda does not disclose that the guide roller mounted at the bottom of the elevator car and engaging the wedge-ribbed belt, in which the guide roller has a plurality of ribs and grooves engaging the ribs and grooves of the wedge-ribbed belt for guidance of the wedge-ribbed belt. Saito discloses a "Vibration Suppressing Device For Elevator". See Figs. 1- 5 and respective portions of the specification. Saito further discloses guide rollers (11,12) having a plurality of ribs and grooves for engaging the ribs and grooves of the rope for guidance of the rope (See at

Art Unit: 3654

least Col. 2 I. 45 – 66, Col. 3 I. 25 – 30 & at least Figs. 4-5). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention in view of Saito to modify the apparatus of Baranda to include guide rollers that had a plurality of ribs and grooves that engaged the ribs and grooves of the belt so that a elevator could receive greater traction and a higher load capacity.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Terrell H. Matthews whose telephone number is (571)272-5929. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathy Matecki can be reached on (571) 272-6951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

THM

PRIMARY EXAMINER